1	Benjamin M. Lopatin, Esq.	
2	Cal. Bar No.: 281730 lopatin@hwrlawoffice.com THE LAW OFFICES OF HOWARD W. RUBINSTEIN, P.A. One Embarcadero Center, Suite 500	
3		
4	San Francisco, CA 94111	
5	(800) 436-6437 (415) 692-6607 (fax)	
6		
7	Attorney for Plaintiff, MONIQUE MANCHOUCK and the Proposed Class UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
9		
10		
11	MONIQUE MANCHOUCK, as an individual, and on behalf of all others	: Civil No.: 3:13-cv-02148-WHA
12	similarly situated,	nilarly situated, : PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO : FILE UNTIMELY RESPONSE IN
13	Plaintiff,	: OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S
14	vs.	: MOTION TO DISMISS THE FIRST: AMENDED COMPLAINT
15		:
16	MONDELEZ INTERNATIONAL, INC., d/b/a NABISCO an Illinois corporation	: Date: September 26, 2013 : Time: 8:00 a.m.
17	Defendant.	: Courtroom: 8
18		: Judge: The Honorable William Alsup
19	Plaintiff, MONIQUE MANCHOUCK	, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly
20	situated, by and through her undersigned counsel, hereby files this Motion for Leave to File	
21		
22	Untimely Response in Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss the First Amended	
23	Complaint, by stating the following:	
24	 On August 2, 2013, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint (Dkt. 17). On August 16, 2013, Defendant filed a Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint (Dkt. 24) ("Motion to Dismiss"). 	
2526		
27		
28		
	. 1	

- 3. On August 16, 2013, Defendant filed a Request for Judicial Notice in Support of its Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 25) ("Request for Judicial Notice").
- 4. The Court set August 30, 2013, as Plaintiff's deadline to respond to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and Request for Judicial Notice.
 - 5. A Hearing is set for this matter on September 26, 2013.
- 6. However, due to clerical error, Plaintiff failed to file a Response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.
- 7. In order to avoid prejudice to the Plaintiff as a result of her attorney's clerical error, Plaintiff respectfully requests leave of this Honorable Court to file a Response in Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.
 - Plaintiff does not oppose Defendant's Request for Judicial Notice. 8.
- 9. On September 17, 2013, Plaintiff conferred with Defendant, and Defendant has indicated that it will not file an objection or move to strike Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss on timeliness grounds.
- 10. Therefore, in the interests of justice and fairness to the parties, including members of the putative Class, Plaintiff humbly requests Leave of Court to File a Response in Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss on or before September 19, 2013.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter an Order allowing Plaintiff to file a Response in Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss on or before September 19, 2013, and for all other relief this Court deems just, appropriate, or proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: September 17, 2013 By: /s/ Benjamin M. Lopatin Benjamin M. Lopatin, Esq.

Cal. Bar No.: 281730

28

24

25

26

27

lopatin@hwrlawoffice.com 1 THE LAW OFFICES OF HOWARD W. RUBINSTEIN, P.A. 2 One Embarcadero Center, Suite 500 3 San Francisco, CA 94111 (800) 436-6437 4 (415) 692-6607 (fax) 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 Monique Manchouck and the Proposed Class 7 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 8 9 **I HEREBY CERTIFY** that on this 17th day of September, 2013, I electronically filed the 10 foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing 11 document is being served this day on all counsel of record by transmission of Notices of Electronic 12 Filing generated by CM/ECF. 13 14 /s/ Benjamin M. Lopatin Benjamin M. Lopatin, Esq. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28